

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/965,646	09/26/2001	Michael Crom	Crom M 1	3056	
7663	7590 02/19/2004		EXAMINER		
	RUNDA GARRED &	MARCELO, EMMANUEL MONSAYAC			
75 ENTERPRISE, SUITE 250 ALISO VIEJO, CA 92656			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3654		
				DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		1/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	09/965,646	CROM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Emmanuel M Marcelo	3654				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sh et with th correspond nc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> .					
	·—					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-11 and 21-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-11 and 21</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 September 2001</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
oco mo attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.						
Attachment/e)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 30 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 9, it appears that "fist" should be "first". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 23, 24 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claims 23 and 24, it is unclear as to which "brake" the recitation "the brake" is referring to. Is it referring to the brake between the first and second gripper or the brake on the first gripper?

With respect to claim 28, line 2, the recitation of "the spool" lacks positive antecedent basis in the claims.

With respect to claim 29, line 2, the recitation of "the second and third radius" lacks positive antecedent basis in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/965,646

Art Unit: 3654

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22-28 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent no. 6,089,493 to Moore.

Moore discloses a winder for tensioning a strand of material being wrapped around a tank 12 in order to pre-stress the tank 12, the winder 10 being connected to a tower traveling around the tank 12, the winder 10 comprising: a first rotating gripper 20 engaging the strand of material at a first, constant radius; a second rotating gripper 39 downstream of the first gripper and engaging the strand of material, the second gripper 39 using a differential winder to apply a tension to the strand which leaves the second gripper; a brake 33 between the first and second gripper; a brake (see Figure 10) on the first gripper 20 to vary the rotation of the first gripper 20 and vary the tension in the strand of material between the first 20 and second 39 grippers.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-11 and 21 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Claim 29 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 29 would be allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest the entire combination of elements set forth including a sprocket with two different pitch circumferences corresponding to the second and third radius.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel M Marcelo whose telephone number is 703-305-6597.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki can be reached on 703-308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Emmanuel M Marcelo **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3654

emm February 12, 2004